

1
2
3
4
5
6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
8 AT TACOMA

9 KEVIN A BROWN,
10 Plaintiff,

11 v.
12 DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS,
13 et al.,
14 Defendants.

15 CASE NO. 3:17-CV-05524-BHS-DWC

16 ORDER

17 The District Court has referred this action filed under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 to United States
18 Magistrate Judge David W. Christel. Currently pending before the Court are Defendants' Motion
19 to Strike Statement ("Motion to Strike"), and Plaintiff's Motion Requesting Counsel ("Motion
20 for Counsel") and Motion to Submit Sworn Declaration of Witness Jessyca Swatman ("Motion
21 to Submit"). Dkt. 41, 48, 50. After review of the three Motions and the relevant record, the
22 Motion to Strike (Dkt. 41) is granted and the Motion for Counsel (Dkt. 48) and Motion to Submit
23 (Dkt. 50) are denied.

24 **I. Motion to Strike and Motion to Submit (Dkt. 41, 50)**

25 Defendants filed the Motion to Strike on December 27, 2017, requesting the Court strike
26 a statement submitted by non-party Jessyca Swatman (Dkt. 40) filed on December 15, 2017. Dkt.
27 41. Defendants move to strike the statement because Ms. Swatman is not a party to this case, Ms.

1 Swatman is not Plaintiff's attorney, the statement is not sworn testimony or accompanying a
2 motion, and the statement's contents are inadmissible. *Id.* Plaintiff filed a response requesting the
3 statement be considered. Dkt. 47. He also filed a separate Motion to Submit, wherein he requests
4 a new sworn statement by Ms. Swatman, which is attached to the Motion to Submit, be filed.
5 Dkt. 50. Plaintiff asserts the statement is necessary to prove the facts of his case. *See* Dkt. 47, 50.

6 Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(f), “[t]he court may strike from a pleading
7 an insufficient defense or any redundant, immaterial, impertinent, or scandalous matter.” At this
8 time there are no pending motions which require the submission of evidence. For example,
9 neither party has filed a motion for summary judgment. The Court also notes the December 15,
10 2017 statement is not sworn testimony and, therefore, does not constitute evidence. As the
11 December 15, 2017 statement is not sworn testimony and as there are no pending motions that
12 require the submission of evidence currently before the Court, Defendants’ Motion to Strike
13 (Dkt. 41) is granted and Plaintiff’s Motion to Submit (Dkt. 50) is denied.

14 The Clerk is directed to strike the December 15, 2017 statement (Dkt. 40). If any motion
15 (i.e. a motion for summary judgment) is filed which requires the submission of evidence,
16 Plaintiff may file evidence (such as Ms. Swatman’s sworn statement) with his motion or his
17 response to Defendants’ motion.

18 **II. Motion for Counsel (Dkt. 48)**

19 On January 2, 2018, Plaintiff filed his second Motion for Counsel requesting Court-
20 appointed counsel. Dkt. 48.¹ No constitutional right to appointed counsel exists in a § 1983
21 action. *Storseth v. Spellman*, 654 F.2d 1349, 1353 (9th Cir. 1981); *see United States v.*
22 \$292,888.04 in U.S. Currency

23 , 54 F.3d 564, 569 (9th Cir. 1995) (“[a]ppointment of counsel

24

¹ The Court denied Plaintiff’s first request for counsel on September 26, 2017. Dkt. 29.

1 under this section is discretionary, not mandatory"). However, in "exceptional circumstances," a
2 district court may appoint counsel for indigent civil litigants pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1)
3 (formerly 28 U.S.C. § 1915(d)). *Rand v. Roland*, 113F.3d 1520, 1525 (9th Cir. 1997), *overruled*
4 *on other grounds*, 154 F.3d 952 (9th Cir. 1998). To decide whether exceptional circumstances
5 exist, the Court must evaluate both "the likelihood of success on the merits [and] the ability of
6 the [plaintiff] to articulate his claims *pro se* in light of the complexity of the legal issues
7 involved." *Wilborn v. Escalderon*, 789 F.2d 1328, 1331 (9th Cir. 1986) (*quoting Weygandt v.*
8 *Look*, 718 F.2d 952, 954 (9th Cir. 1983)). A plaintiff must plead facts showing he has an
9 insufficient grasp of his case or the legal issues involved and an inadequate ability to articulate
10 the factual basis of his claims. *Agyeman v. Corrections Corp. of America*, 390 F.3d 1101, 1103
11 (9th Cir. 2004).

12 In Plaintiff's Motion for Counsel, he states his family has attempted to obtain an attorney
13 with no success, he has limited knowledge of the law and needs counsel to obtain witness
14 statements and conduct discovery and depositions, and counsel will be able to better present
15 Plaintiff's case at trial. Dkt. 48.

16 At this time, Plaintiff has not shown, nor does the Court find, this case involves complex
17 facts or law. Plaintiff has also not shown he is likely to succeed on the merits of his case or
18 shown an inability to articulate the factual basis of his claims in a fashion understandable to the
19 Court. For example, Plaintiff clearly articulated his claims in his Complaint and motion
20 requesting injunctive relief. *See* Dkt. 8, 11. Additionally, Plaintiff has filed multiple motions and
21 responses with the Court, indicating he is able to litigate this case. The Court also notes
22 "Plaintiff's incarceration and limited access to legal materials are not exceptional factors
23 constituting exceptional circumstances that warrant the appointment of counsel. Rather, they are
24

1 the type of difficulties encountered by many pro se litigants.” *Dancer v. Jeske*, 2009 WL
2 1110432, *1 (W.D. Wash. Apr. 24, 2009). Therefore, the Court finds Plaintiff has failed to show
3 the appointment of counsel is appropriate at this time. Accordingly, Plaintiff’s Motion for
4 Counsel (Dkt. 48) is denied without prejudice.

5 Dated this 9th day of February, 2018.

6 
7 David W. Christel
8 United States Magistrate Judge

9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24